- 1 CHAIRMAN JAMES: Dr. Ryan.
- 2 DR. RYAN: Thank you. Madam Chairman, committee
- 3 members, my name is Timothy Ryan. I'm Dean of the College of
- Business Administration at the University of New Orleans, and I 4
- don't know whether I should admit it or not but I am a professor 5
- 6 of economics.
- 7 I have been involved in looking at the gambling
- 8 industry in the State of Louisiana for about ten years and
- 9 embarking on a major study to paralleling this national study for
- 10 Louisiana. And the comments have been right. There are major
- 11 differences between studying gambling and the impact of gambling
- at a local level or state level and at the national level. 12
- 13 But the State of Louisiana has more forms of gambling
- 14 than any other state, with video poker, lottery, horse racing,
- off-track betting, riverboats, land-based casino maybe. 15 It is a
- 16 fertile ground to look at some of these issues and we're going to
- 17 do it.
- 18 We will certainly -- our study is due to be complete
- at the end of March and we will certainly forward a copy to the 19
- 20 Commission to whatever you may be able to learn from that.
- 21 Let me try -- I agree most of what Professor Thompson
- 22 said in terms of, you know, his simple model of looking at the
- 23 economy and economic growth and most economists do. And I know
- 24 that's a strange statement, that most economists agree on
- 25 anything, but they do.
- 26 If we look at a closed system, a closed economic
- system, so we -- for the time being, let's ignore exports and 27
- 28 imports of dollars and so forth from foreign countries and we'll
- 29 come back to that -- that the system can only grow measured by

- 1 the traditional measures of productivity, Gross Domestic Product,
- 2 however you want.
- 3 The dollar value of goods and services can grow if
- in our economics terms, push our production possibility 4
- frontier if we increase land labor or capital or the resources 5
- 6 imbedded in that. Gambling probably doesn't do any of those
- 7 So from a closed system, it's not a new technology.
- 8 A lot of people criticize Bill Gates but -- and I do,
- too, every time I turn on my computer and have to deal with 9
- 10 Windows 95 problems, but what Bill Gates and people like Bill
- 11 Gates have done is created a new technology that has allowed
- 12 everybody to be more productive, and that has pushed our
- 13 productivity and that has created true economic growth.
- 14 In the gambling industry, you see investments. Ι
- look around this community and 15 can't not mean, you
- 16 investment, investment. And you say, well, that must have pushed
- 17 that production possibility frontier. That must have created
- 18 economic growth for the whole nation.
- But that's again too simplistic. You have to look at 19
- 20 where those dollars came from, as Professor Thompson said,
- 21 where -- what other things have we lost in the economy, where the
- 22 dollars have come from to make those investments, and is that net
- 23 new investment?
- That hasn't been studied, to any extent that I'm 24
- 25 aware of, at the national level. At the local level it has, and
- 26 quite often it's positive. If you look at what's happening in
- the Gulf Coast of Mississippi, it's hard to say that there's not 27
- 28 a net increase in capital formation which pushes the production
- possibility frontier of this economy forward. 29

- 1 The national level, it's very, very difficult because
- 2 though in the long run those dollars that are invested in this
- 3 industry come out of some industry. There's nothing wrong with
- 4 that. That's not bad; that's not something we should regulate;
- 5 that's not something, with all due respect, that we should
- 6 develop a national commission on.
- 7 That's the market. That's how markets work. There
- 8 is an ebb and flow. Industries, people want to buy certain goods
- 9 and we have a increase in demand for those goods and we have a
- 10 reduction in demand for other goods.
- Now, if we want to get and say, well, let's define,
- 12 as a society, our social welfare to include jobs. Let's not look
- 13 at productivity or the traditional measure of economic growth as
- 14 was indicated earlier.
- Let's look at jobs. We can do that if we can find a
- 16 consensus that that's what we want to do, that instead we're
- 17 going to measure output by just how many jobs we create. That
- 18 may throw economic growth theory sort of on its ear but that's
- 19 okay and there's nothing wrong with that.
- 20 And as we, as a society, define our social welfare as
- 21 being improved when we create new jobs even if that might not
- 22 create new productivity in some other area of the economy, then
- 23 we can do that and that's very appropriate for us to do.
- I don't know how we could ever reach consensus on
- 25 that so I think that argument, we can debate that. We can debate
- 26 that probably as long as we live. I don't think we'll ever find
- 27 an answer to that.
- Some people are going to say, well, you measure
- 29 economic growth simply by the traditional measures of

- 1 productivity, Gross Domestic Product and so forth, and others are
- 2 going to say, well, no, that is too simplistic. We've got to
- 3 look at people and we've got to look at the welfare of people and
- job training and so forth. 4
- But in the long run, we are going to achieve those 5
- 6 objectives by letting the market work. So I think it's almost a
- 7 truism in economics that -- almost, not quite -- almost a truism
- 8 that if we're looking at a closed system that gambling cannot and
- will not create net economic growth over the long run even in 9
- 10 this dynamic sense.
- 11 It might create, if we could look at the gambling
- industry, it's a form of recreation essentially. 12 You know, we
- 13 had little fun give and take about golf but the reality is that
- 14 can -- those forms of entertainment can be productivity enhancing
- because if I get stressed out on my job, I have to have a couple 15
- 16 of weeks vacation once a year or I go crazy and I'm not very
- 17 productive.
- 18 So I have to get out and relax and maybe play golf or
- 19 maybe gamble, if that's -- so that is, and can add to your long
- 20 run economic growth if that's what people want to do.
- 21 obviously have to look at that, the negative side, which I'll
- 22 talk about in a second.
- So what we have is if we have a closed system so we 23
- don't let dollars flow out, we don't let dollars come into the 24
- 25 system, in all likelihood we don't have a definitive answer. Ι
- 26 don't; I don't think anybody.
- I've never seen a study that looks at this and answer 27
- 28 to the question, do we get net new investment, do we get net new
- 29 economic growth? The answer is probably no or it's going to be

- 1 very minimal. But as I think several of the speakers have said
- 2 today, that really shouldn't matter.
- 3 That's -- if we would do that, we probably wouldn't
- allow Wal-Marts to exist if that was our objective because Wal-4
- Marts -- people in small towns don't like Wal-Marts because they 5
- 6 put all the -- they put out of business all the little hardware
- 7 and the little five and dimes and all the little stores that we
- 8 grew up with and shopped at.
- 9 Why do they put those out? Because people want to go
- 10 Does Wal-Mart create any net economic growth? to Wal-Mart.
- 11 Probably not. But is there anything wrong with that?
- Now, let's talk about opening the system now, which 12
- 13 is realistic. Let's talk about the impact of gambling when we
- 14 Then we have to answer the question, where do open the system.
- the dollars come from? Do they come from foreign players? That 15
- 16 creates a net economic growth for your region.
- 17 Now we make the analogy to the Biloxi area, to New
- Orleans area, any other -- Las Vegas, any other regional economy. 18
- 19 If we get more dollars coming in from outside of this system,
- 20 then leave the system because of gambling or the alternative.
- 21 That's the question that's never been answered to anybody's
- 22 satisfaction.
- 23 Where do the dollars come from that go into the
- 24 gambling industry? And that is an important question. Do they
- 25 come from, you know, somebody buying a Lexus? Although we like
- 26 consumer sovereignty, we think that we ought to allow consumers
- 27 to spend their money where they want.
- 28 In terms of looking at the net growth impacts on the
- 29 United States, if the dollars that go into the gambling industry,

- domestic dollars, would have gone into some other industry that 1
- 2 has a more export component or import component in this case,
- 3 then there could be a net positive growth factor for gambling.
- 4 In all likelihood, again, common sense tells us in
- absence of those studies that that's probably not going to be the 5
- 6 Most of the dollars that go into the gambling industry
- 7 forms of from the other recreation probably come
- 8 entertainment: going out to eat, going to football games.
- 9 We've seen decline in attendance at many sporting
- 10 events, at high school and professional level when gambling has
- 11 come in, and so forth and so on. So we have to look at that
- question and that has not been addressed at the national level. 12
- 13 Now, that then gets us to the ultimate, the cost.
- 14 We've talked about the economic benefits and the growth benefits.
- Now we have to ask ourselves the question, well, what about those 15
- 16 costs, those activities that take dollars out of the productive
- 17 area of the economy to what we call defensive, protective
- 18 measures?
- We're going to keep having the dollars flowing, but 19
- 20 if we're taking dollars out of productive use of resources and
- 21 toward protecting ourselves from crime, those
- 22 instance, or a business or protecting itself from an employee
- theft because some of their employees have a gambling addiction 23
- 24 and they then increase their employee theft activity, their
- 25 productivity goes down, if we, as a society, have to put
- resources to protecting against that, then we lose. 26
- Those are the negative externalities that Professor 27
- 28 Thompson was referring to. And we have to look at those.

- 1 Clearly gambling is an addictive activity and it's 2 been documented, whether it's .9 or 1.6 or .3 or whatever it
- 3 is -- and you can put the numbers to it and come up with some
- 4 relatively large costs that are a drag on society that move our
- 5 economy within that production possibility frontier that just
- 6 create what we call dead weight loss in economics. They're
- 7 dollars that don't go toward any positive productive use.
- 8 We have to look at that because there are other --
- 9 we're, I think, grappling with a much more fundamental issue than
- 10 gambling addiction with alcohol addiction, with tobacco addiction
- 11 in this country.
- Now, it looks like maybe with tobacco addiction that
- 13 the court system is going to try to solve that problem although
- 14 we're not sure. But there's a tremendous amount of legislation
- 15 that has been proposed and is on the books and will be proposed
- 16 with respect to both tobacco and alcohol addiction.
- 17 So the question -- I think the fundamental question
- 18 for a group such as this is to look at -- we could probably hire
- 19 a whole department of economists to look at this question that --
- 20 not talking about the social costs but just the economic growth
- 21 benefits, and they could go out and do studies and gather data
- 22 and probably come up with what we know now, in that it's pretty
- 23 much a wash from a national point of view.
- 24 Maybe that needs to be done so that we can confirm
- 25 that but the real question in my mind looks at what are those
- 26 other costs, whether it's crime, whether it's reduced
- 27 productivity, worker productivity, whether it is those social
- 28 costs that were referred to family problems, suicide, depression,
- 29 or the cost of treating those illnesses by society.

- 1 That's really where we focus our attention. I was
- 2 always taught -- and I'm not sure, as an economist, that I
- 3 understand this so it really makes sense -- but I was always
- 4 taught that two wrongs don't make a right. And if we say, well,
- 5 look, we've got industries that create a lot of externalities on
- 6 society, the alcohol industry and the gambling industry -- I
- 7 mean, and the tobacco industry, well, then it's okay to have
- 8 another one that does that.
- I don't know that we can answer that question that
- 10 simplistically. I think we need to look very carefully at that
- 11 part of the equation. I know later on today you're going to talk
- 12 about crime and then tomorrow we talk about addictive gambling.
- But from an economist, that's where the action is, in
- 14 terms of the net economic impact of gambling, in terms of the
- 15 national perspective as opposed to a local perspective. Thank
- 16 you.
- 17 CHAIRMAN JAMES: Thank you very much.